

1 MERYL MACKLIN (CA State Bar No. 115053)
2 meryl.macklin@hro.com
3 HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP
4 560 Mission Street, 25th Floor
5 San Francisco, CA 94105-2994
6 Telephone: (415) 268-2000
7 Facsimile: (415) 268-1999

ROBERT S. FRIEDMAN (*pro hac vice*)
SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER &
HAMPTON LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112
Telephone: (212) 653-8700
Facsimile: (212) 653-8701

6 JEFFREY S. ROSS (CA State Bar No. 138172)
7 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.
8 500 Oracle Parkway, 7th Floor
9 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
10 Telephone: (650) 506-5200
11 Facsimile: (650) 506-7114

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
11 Oracle America, Inc.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.
16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY
19 DISTRIBUTORS LLC
20 Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:11-CV-01043-LHK

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER
RE EXTENSION OF DUE DATE FOR
REPLY BRIEF**

1 WHEREAS the Case Management Order entered on July 21, 2011 provides that each party
2 may submit a three-page letter brief to the Court if the parties are unable to resolve a discovery
3 dispute;

4 WHEREAS the Case Management Order does not specify a due date for submitting a reply
5 letter brief after an opening letter brief has been filed;

6 WHEREAS the Court's Clerk advised on November 14, 2011, that reply letter briefs are due
7 five days after receipt of an opening brief;

8 WHEREAS Innovative Technology Distributors LLC ("ITD") filed a letter brief on
9 November 9, 2011, raising certain issues in connection with the entry of a discovery confidentiality
10 order in this case;

11 WHEREAS Oracle intends to file a reply brief in opposition to ITD's letter brief dated
12 November 9, 2011, and is seeking a short extension of time for its reply;

13 WHEREAS ITD has agreed to give Oracle a short extension of time for its reply brief, up to
14 and including November 18, 2011.

15 NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND AGREE, through their respective
16 counsel, that Oracle's reply brief in opposition to ITD's letter brief dated November 9, 2011, shall be
17 due no later than November 18, 2011.

18
19 Dated: November 14, 2011

HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP

20
21 By: /s/ Meryl Macklin

Meryl Macklin

Attorneys for Plaintiff Oracle America, Inc.

22
23
24 Dated: November 14, 2011

GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP


25 By: /s/ Valerie M. Wagner

Valerie M. Wagner

Attorneys for Defendant Innovative Technology
Distributors LLC.

1 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED**

2
3 Dated: November 21, 2011


The Honorable Lucy H. Koh
United States District Judge

4
5 **GENERAL ORDER 45 ATTESTATION**

6 I, Meryl Macklin, hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, that
7 concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.
8

9 /s/ Meryl Macklin

10 Meryl Macklin
11 Attorneys for Oracle America, Inc.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28